Original Journal. They returne and say they have delivered the same.

The bill for Naturalizeing Jacob Seth: read the Naturalizac-3^d time by speciall ord^r of the house and past in con bills Read and past. these words (Viz^t)

Aprill the 24th 1684

The Low house have Assented.

Signd p Ord C Boteler Cl of the Low house &c

The bill for Naturalizeing Nicholas Verbraach: read the 3^d time by Speciall Ord^r and past this house in the same words as the former.

The Bill for Naturalizeing, Peter Bayard, and Others. Read the Third time by speciall Ord of the house, And past in the same words as the former:

Then was drawne the following message (vizt)

Lower house of Assembly Aprill the 24th 1684

Vpon reading the severall Bills of Naturaliza-Concerning a fee to Mr tion of the severall persones therein named, This Speaker house hath Considered, that there hath been vpon private vsually payd To the Speaker of the house of Commons in England a Considerable fee vpon Acts and an Augmentation to the Clerkes The passing any private Act, This house haveing seriously debated the same, Resolved

That all persons that shall be naturalized, This present sessions of assembly, Doe respectively pay vnto the speaker of this house The sum of Twelve hundred pounds of Tobacco for the fees of their Naturalizaccon, And that the like fee be pd to the Speaker of the Lower house for the future, And That the Clerkes fee of the Lower house be Three hundred pounds of Tobacco for Every Naturalizaccon & noe more And this house desires the Concurrence of the vpp house herein.

Signd p Ord C Boteler Ck of the Lower house &c

wife or the land weh was formerly William Writes, weh mistake is not Amended in the Supplementary Act, to the great

p. 45 Coll Stevens prayes an Amendment of the Supplementary

Then Came Coll Stevens from the vpper house and sayes he has Order to Informe this house, That there was Notice given of a mistake in the Act for Advancement of Trade, Concerning a port towne or place of Trade in Wighcocomoco River in summesett County, which place in the sayd Act is mentioned to be vpon the land next above the land of the Orphanes of Charles Ballard whereas the same should have been mentioned to be vpon a parcell of land Called Lotts